RESOLUTION NO. 10-2020-2021 OF THE TAHOE TRUCKEE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT ADOPTING PREQUALIFICATION PROCESS FOR PRIME CONTRACTORS PURSUANT TO PUBLIC CONTRACT CODE SECTIONS 20111.5 AND 20111.6

WHEREAS, the Tahoe Truckee Unified School District ("District") desires to prequalify potential prime contractors for District projects;

WHEREAS, school districts are required to prequalify prime contractors for all leaseleaseback projects or projects eligible to use funds from the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 or from any future state school bond that involves a projected expenditure of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or more using the requirements described in Section 20111.6 of the Public Contract Code;

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code section 20111.6 directs school districts to require prospective prime contractors to submit a standardized prequalification questionnaire and financial statement (collectively "Prequalification Package"), prior to submitting a bid/proposal for the aforementioned District projects;

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code section 20111.5 authorizes school districts, at their option, to require prospective prime contractors to submit a Prequalification Package for other District projects which do not fall within the statutory categories described above;

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code sections 20111.5 and 20111.6 authorize school districts to establish a uniform system of rating prospective contractors based upon the completed Prequalification Packages ("Prequalification Process");

WHEREAS, District staff have developed a standardized questionnaire in accordance with Public Contract Code sections 20111.5 and 20111.6 attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"** ("Questionnaire");

WHEREAS, District staff have developed a uniform system of rating potential prime contractors based upon the completed Questionnaires in accordance with Public Contract Code sections 20111.5 and 20111.6 attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"** ("Rating System");

WHEREAS, the Rating System includes a process for potential prime contractors to appeal certain decisions with respect to the Prequalification Process ("Appeal Process"); and

WHEREAS, the District desires to adopt the Prequalification Process, including the Questionnaire, Rating System, and Appeal Process.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Education of the Tahoe Truckee Unified School District hereby finds, determines, declares, orders and resolves as follows:

Section 1. That the above recitals are true and correct.

Section 2. That the Questionnaire, the Rating System, and the Appeal Process are authorized pursuant to Public Contract Code sections 20111.5 and 20111.6.

Section 3. That the District's Superintendent, or designee, is authorized to implement the Prequalification Process, including accepting the final list of prequalified prime contractors, consistent with Public Contract Code sections 20111.5 and 20111.6.

APPROVED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Education of the Tahoe Truckee Unified School District on this 20th day of January, 2021, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTENTIONS: _____

President of the Board of Education of the Tahoe Truckee Unified School District

Attested to:

Clerk of the Board of Education of the Tahoe Truckee Unified School District

Exhibit "A"

Prequalification Questionnaire

TAHOE TRUCKEE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PREQUALIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PRIME CONTRACTORS January 20, 2021

Pursuant to Public Contract Code sections 20111.5 and 20111.6, each prospective prime contractor shall submit the following information to establish its qualifications to perform construction work as the prime contractor on District projects, which may include lease-leaseback projects and projects eligible to use funds from the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 or from any future state school bond that involves a projected expenditure of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or more.

A. CONTRACTOR'S CONTACT INFORMATION

Firm name:		
Address:		
Telephone:		
Fax:		
Mobile Telephone:		
E-mail:		
Ву:	Date:	
(Name of individual completing statement)		
Years in business as a licensed contractor:		
Types of work performed with own forces:		
Years in business under current firm name:		
Years at the above address:		

B. CURRENT ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF THE BUSINESS

- 1. For Firms that Are Corporations:
 - a. Date incorporated:
 - b. Under the laws of what state: ____
 - c. Provide all the following information for each person who is either (a) an officer of the corporation (president, vice president, secretary, treasurer), or (b) the owner of at least 10% of the corporation's stock¹.

¹ Attach all additional references and/or information on separate signed sheets.

Name	Position	Years with Co.	% Ownership

- 2. For Firms that Are Partnerships:
 - a. Date of formation: _
 - b. Under the laws of what state: _
 - c. Provide all the following information for each partner who owns ten percent (10%) or more of the firm*.

Name	Position	Years with Co.	% Ownership

- 3. For Firms that Are Sole Proprietorships:
 - a. Date of commencement of business:
- 4. For Firms that Intend to Bid as a Joint Venture:
 - a. Date of commencement of joint venture:
 - b. Provide all of the following information for each firm that is a member of the joint venture that expects to bid on one or more projects*.

Name of Firm	% of Ownership of Joint Venture	

5. Associated Firms

For any of the above business structures, identify every construction firm that any person listed above has been associated with (as owner, general partner, limited partner or officer) at any time during the past 3 years*.

Person's Name	Name of Construction Firm & License No.	Dates of Person's Participation with Firm

C. HISTORY OF THE BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

1. Has there been any change in ownership of the firm at any time during the past 3 years? <u>NOTE</u>: A corporation whose shares are publicly traded is not required to answer this question.

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet.

2. Is the firm a subsidiary, parent, holding company, or affiliate of another construction firm? <u>NOTE</u>: Include information about other firms if one firm owns 10% or more of another, or if an owner, partner, or officer of your firm holds a similar position in another firm.

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet. Include name of the related company and percent ownership.

3. Are any corporate officers, partners or owners connected to any other construction firms? <u>NOTE</u>: Include information about other firms if an owner, partner, or officer of your firm holds a similar position in another firm.

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet.

4. Has any owner, partner, CSLB qualifier or corporate officer of the firm operated as a contractor under any other name or license number (not listed above) in the last 3 years?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name and license number of the other company.

5. State your firm's gross revenues for each of the past 3 years:

Year	Gross Revenue
	\$
	\$
	\$

- 6. How many years has your firm been in business in California as a contractor under your present business name and license number?
- 7. Is your firm currently the debtor in a bankruptcy case or was your firm in bankruptcy at any time during the last 7 years?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," please attach a copy of the bankruptcy petition and a copy of the Bankruptcy Court's discharge or any other document that ended the case, if any.

LICENSES/REGISTRATION

- 8. Please provide the following licensing information:
 - a. Name of license holder exactly as on file with the Contractors State License Board: _____
 - b. License classification(s): _____
 - c. License #: _____
 - d. Expiration Date: _____
 - e. Public Works Contractor's Registration # as on file with Department of Industrial Relations: _____

9. Has any CSLB license held by your firm or its Responsible Managing Employee or Responsible Managing Officer been suspended or revoked within the last 3 years?

	Yes		No
--	-----	--	----

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet.

10. Has your firm changed names or license number in the past 3 years?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the reason for the change.

DISPUTES

11. At any time in the last 3 years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages under a construction contract with any public or private owner?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying projects by owner, owner's address, and date of completion.

12. At any time in the last 3 years, has your firm, or any owners, officers or partners, been debarred, disqualified, removed or otherwise prevented from bidding on, or completing, any public works project?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name of the person who was associated with that company, the year of the event, owner, owner's address and basis for the action.

13. At any time in the last 3 years, has a public agency found your company was not a responsible bidder?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the year of the event, owner, owner's address and basis for the finding.

14. In the past 5 years, has any claim exceeding \$50,000 against your firm or by your firm against an owner been filed in court or arbitration concerning your firm's work or payment on a construction project?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the project name, court or arbitration case name and number, and a brief description of the status of the claim.

INSURANCE

15. Does Contractor have liability insurance with a policy limit of at least \$2,000,000 per occurrence and \$4,000,000 aggregate?

□ Yes □ No

If "No," provide on a separate signed sheet what limits are available to the Contractor.

16. Does Contractor have current workers' compensation insurance as required by the California Labor Code or is Contractor legally self-insured pursuant to California Labor Code section 3700 et seq.?

□ Yes □ No

17. In the last 3 years, has any insurance carrier, for any form of insurance, refused to renew an insurance policy for your firm?

🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name of the insurance carrier, form of insurance and year of the refusal.

CRIMINAL MATTERS AND RELATED CIVIL SUITS

18. Has your firm or any of its owners, partners or officers ever been found liable in a civil suit, or found guilty in a criminal action, for making any false claim or material misrepresentation to any public agency or entity?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying who was involved, name of the public agency, date of the investigation and grounds for the filing.

19. Has your firm or any of its owners, partners or officers ever been convicted of a crime involving any federal, state, or local law related to construction or a crime involving fraud, theft, or any other act of dishonesty?

🗆 Yes 🛛 No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying who was involved, name of the public agency, date of conviction and grounds for the conviction.

SAFETY

20. Within the past 3 years, has the California or federal OSHA cited and assessed penalties against your firm, or any associated firm, for "serious," "willful" or "repeat" violations of its safety or health regulations?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying the citation(s), nature of the violation(s), project, and amount of penalty paid, if any.

21. Within the past 3 years, has the EPA or any Air Quality Management District or any Regional Water Quality Control Board cited and assessed penalties against your firm or the owner of the project on which your firm was the Contractor?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, describing the citation(s).

22. State the Contractor's Workers' Compensation Experience Modification Rate for the past 3 premium years:

Year	Modification Rate

If your EMR is 1.00 or higher, you may attach a letter of explanation.

- 23. Within the past 3 years, has there ever been a period when your firm and/or any associated firm had employees but was without workers' compensation insurance or state-approved self-insurance?
 - 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

If yes, explain on separate signed sheet, including the date(s) and reason(s) for the absence of workers' compensation insurance.

PREVAILING WAGE AND APPRENTICESHIP COMPLIANCE

24. In the past 3 years, has there been more than one occasion in which your firm was required to pay either back wages or penalties for failure to comply with California prevailing wage laws or federal Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, describing the nature of the violation(s), project, owner, and amount paid, if any.

25. At any time during the past 3 years, has your firm been found to have violated any provision of California apprenticeship laws or regulations, or laws pertaining to use of apprentices on public works projects?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including date(s) of such findings and attaching the DAS' final decision(s).

BONDING

26. Have you attached a notarized statement from an admitted surety insurer (approved by the California Department of Insurance and authorized to issue bonds in the State of California), which states your current bonding capacity (both single job limit and aggregate limit)? NOTE: Contractor must have independent capacity to provide a 10% bid bond, 100% payment bond, and 100% performance bond, each issued by an admitted surety insurer, without bonding by subcontractors.

□ Yes □ No

27. Provide the name, address and telephone number of the surety agent: _____

28. List all sureties that have written bonds for your firm currently and during the last 3 years*:

Name	Address	Dates of bonds

29. In the last 3 years, has any surety paid on your firm's behalf as a result of a default to satisfy any claims made against a payment or performance bond issued on your firm's behalf?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the amount of each claim, name and telephone number of claimant, date of and grounds for the claim, and present status.

30. If your firm was required to pay a premium of more than 1% for a performance and payment bond on any project on which your firm worked in the last 3 years, state the percentage that your firm was required to pay: _____

You may explain on a separate signed sheet, why you were required to pay a premium of more than 1%.

31. In the last 3 years, has your firm ever been denied bond coverage by a surety company, or has there ever been a period of time when your firm had no surety bond in place when one was required?

□ Yes □ No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name of the surety company and the period during which your firm had no bond in place.

D. PROJECT REFERENCES

On the form attached as Exhibit A, list all California K-12 projects (both under construction and completed) during the past 5 years, using the lease-leaseback project delivery method, in which the Contractor under all firm names identified in Section B has participated. Use and attach additional signed sheets when needed to explain or clarify any response or to include more responses with all requested information.

E. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Contractor must submit a reviewed or audited financial statement with accompanying notes and supplemental information for the past 2 full fiscal years. A letter verifying availability of a line of credit may also be attached; however, it will be considered supplemental information only, and is not a substitute for the required financial statement.

CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct:

Date:	
Proper Name of Contractor:	
Signature by an officer of the Contractor:	
Print Name:	
Title:	

<u>EXHIBIT A</u>

1.	Projec	Project Name/Identification:	
	a.	Project Name:	
	b.	Project address/location:	
	c.	Owner (name of district reference and tel. no.):	
	d.	Architect (name and tel. no.):	
	e.	Construction Manager (name and tel. no.):	
	f.	Scope of Work:	
	g.	Was/Is this a lease-leaseback project?	
	h.	Original completion date:	
	i.	Actual date of completion:	
	j.	Time extensions granted:	
	k.	Initial contract value:	
	Ι.	Final contract value:	

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: _____

Signature

Exhibit "B"

Prequalification Rating System

TAHOE TRUCKEE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PRIME CONTRACTOR PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION PROCEDURE January 20, 2021

Name of Contractor: _____

- **1. Confirm Prequalification Statement Submitted is Responsive** if the answer to any of the questions is "no," then the Prequalification Package is nonresponsive.
 - A. <u>Completeness</u>

Did the Contractor provide all requested information in its submitted Prequalification Statement?

Yes No

B. <u>Signed Under Penalty of Perjury</u>

Is the Prequalification Questionnaire signed under penalty of perjury by an individual who has the authority to bind the Contractor on whose behalf he or she is signing?

Yes No

- 2. **Incomplete, Misleading or Inaccurate Information** if the answer to any of the questions is "yes," then reject the Contractor.
 - A. Is the information provided by the Contractor misleading or inaccurate in any material manner?

Yes No

B. Is the information contained in the Prequalification Package out of date, and not updated under penalty of perjury, so that it is no longer accurate?

Yes No

3. Confirm Essential Criteria

a. K-12 School Projects (See Section C. of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor contracted for construction involving a minimum of three (3) California K-12 projects with the past five (5) years?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

b. License (See Section C.9. of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor held all Contractor's license(s) necessary to perform its work for at least three (3) years, without suspension or revocation?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

c. Registration (See Section C.8. of Questionnaire)

Is the Contractor currently registered as a Public Works Contractor with the Department of Industrial Relations?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

d. Disqualification (See Section C.12. and C.13. of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor ever been disqualified, debarred, forbidden, or found nonresponsible or otherwise prohibited, from performing work and/or bidding on work for any school district or other public agency within the State of California in the past three (3) years?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

e. Default (See Section C.29. of Questionnaire)

Has a surety firm completed a contract on Contractor's behalf, or paid for completion because Contractor was in default and/or terminated by school district or other public agency within the State of California within the past three (3) years?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

f. Insurance (See Section C.15. of Questionnaire)

Does Contractor have liability insurance with a policy limit of at least \$2,000,000 per occurrence and \$4,000,000 aggregate?

Yes No

(If no, see from attachment to Questionnaire if they are capable of attaining the above limits. If not, then Contractor is not qualified)

g. Workers' Compensation (See Section C.16. of Questionnaire)

Does Contractor have current workers' compensation insurance as required by the California Labor Code or is Contractor legally self-insured pursuant to California Labor Code section 3700 et seq.?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

h. Bonding Capacity (See Sections C.26. through C.31. of Questionnaire)

Does Contractor demonstrate that it can provide a 10% bid bond, 100% payment bond, and 100% performance bond, each issued by a surety admitted and authorized to transact business as a surety in California?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

i. Criminal Matters and Related Civil Suits (See Section C.18. and C.19. of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor, or any of its owners or officers, been found liable in a civil suit or guilty in a criminal action for making a false claim or material misrepresentation to a public agency, or been convicted of a crime involving the bidding, award or performance of a contract on a government construction project or any crime involving fraud, theft, or any other act of dishonesty?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

j. Liquidated Damages (See Section C.11. of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor, at any time in the last three (3) years, been assessed liquidated damages under a construction contract with any public or private owner?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

4. Contact References

The District must contact each of Contractor's references from a minimum of 1 of its most recent K-12 school district projects. A contractor who receives a score less than 35 points on the interview will not be qualified. If a contractor receives an average score between 35 and 40 points from two interviews, then a third interview will be conducted. An average score of 40 or higher on at least two interviews is required for prequalification.

5. Review Financial Strength

Assessment	Formula	Minimum
Working Capital	Current Assets-Current Liabilities	\geq 10% of Estimated
		Cost
Net Worth	Total Assets-Total Liabilities	≥ 0
Current Ratio	Current Assets/Current Liabilities	≥ 1.25
Leverage	Total Liabilities/Equity	< 2.5

6. Complete Evaluation Worksheet

Insert total score from evaluation worksheet Contractor must have 31 out of 55 points or higher to qualify

7. Appeal Process

If the Contractor decides to appeal the District's qualification decision, it shall follow this procedure:

- a. Within three (3) working days of notification from the District, Contractor shall submit a written request to the District asking for an explanation of any aspect of the District's determination.
- b. Within three (3) working days from receipt of the District's written response to the Contractor's request, Contractor may submit, in writing, a request for reconsideration by the District's staff. Contractor may submit with the request any information that it believes supports a finding that District's determination should be changed.

If the Contractor chooses not to avail itself of this process, the proposed prequalification rating may be adopted by the District without further proceedings.

TAHOE TRUCKEE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PRIME CONTRACTOR PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION REFERENCE FORM January 20, 2021

District should fill out the information in Section I and then telephone the contact person. District should then ask the questions in Section II, ensuring that it obtains the information as to whether Contractor's performance in that area was unsatisfactory, below average, average or above average. District should then complete section III with that information and determine whether a second or third telephone interview is necessary.

Section I - General Project Information

Name of Contractor:	Total Contract Costs:			
	Contract Start/End Dates:			
Project Title:	Actual Completion Date:			
Scope of Work:				
Name of Public Agency/School District:	Telephone Number of Contact Person:			
Name of Contact Person:	Date and Time of Interview of Contact Person:			
Architect Firm:	Principal Architect in Charge of Project:			

Section II – Telephone Interview Questions

Please rate the Contractor as unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.

1. Planning and Coordination of Work

Did the Contractor pursue and develop a thorough understanding of the scope of work? Did the Contractor proactively anticipate and coordinate agencies and jurisdictions, and utilities shutdown schedules and mechanics? Was the Contractor able to plan and execute complex critical path tasks for successful completion?

2. Quality of Work

Were there quality-related problems on the project? Were these problems attributable to the Contractor? Was the Contractor cooperative in trying to resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.

3. Performance and Accountability

a. Scheduling - Rate the Contractor's performance with regard to adhering to project schedules. Did the Contractor meet the project schedule? If not, was the delay attributable to the Contractor?

b. Personnel - Rate the Contractor's performance with regard to providing adequate personnel.

c. Project Management - Rate the Contractor's ability to manage and coordinate its own subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate the Contractor's overall project management). Was the Contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples.

d. Timely Payments - Rate the Contractor with respect to timely payments by the Contractor to either subcontractors or suppliers (if no knowledge of difficulties, the score to this question should be "5").

e. Change Orders - Rate the Contractor's performance with regard to change orders and extras. Did the Contractor unreasonably claim change orders? Were the Contractor's prices on change orders reasonable? If not, provide specific examples.

f. Working Relationships - Rate the Contractor's working relationships with other parties (i.e. owner, designer, prime contractors, other subcontractors, etc.).
 Did the Contractor relate to other parties in a professional manner? If not, provide specific examples.

g. Paperwork Processing - Rate the Contractor's performance in completing and submitting required project paperwork (i.e. submittals, drawings, requisitions, payrolls, Operations and Maintenance manuals, As-Built drawings, warranties, etc.). Did the Contractor submit the required paperwork promptly and in proper form? If not, provide specific examples.

 Litigation – Did the Contractor threaten litigation or arbitration of any claims? Did the Contractor actually file for litigation or arbitration against the District? Did the District agree to settle any litigation or arbitration? If not, who prevailed in the trial or arbitration?

[SCORE SHEET ON NEXT PAGE]

Section III – Score Sheet for Telephone Interviews

Contractor's Name: _____

	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	Average	Above Average	RATING
1. Planning and Coordination of Work	-5	0	7	15	
2. Quality of Work	-5	0	1	5	
3. Performance and Accountability					
a. Scheduling	0	1	2	4	
b. Personnel	0	1	3	5	
c. Project Management	0	1	3	5	
d. Timely Payments	0	1	3	5	
e. Change Orders	0	1	2	4	
f. Working Relationship	0	0	1	2	
g. Paperwork Processing	0	0	1	2	
f. Litigation	0	0	2	3	
Maximum Possible: 50					
Total:					

TAHOE TRUCKEE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PRIME CONTRACTOR PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION WORKSHEET January 20, 2021

Name of Contractor:				
Essential Criteria If any one of the essential criteria is not met, there is no need to complete the remainder of the evaluation.				Pass/Fail
References Use the attached Evaluation Reference Form for each reference contacted for Contractor.				Pass/Fail
Financial Strength (Section E. of Questionna	aire)			Pass/Fail
Scored Questions a. Years in Business Under Current Licen 10 or more years	ise (<u>tion C.6 c</u> Points	f Questionnaire) Points
5 to 9 years	=	-	Points	1011123
2 to 4 years	=		Points	
Less than 2 years	=	0	Points	
 b. Size of Completed Projects (Section D. 3 Completed Projects larger than \$50 million 3 Completed Projects larger than \$25 million 	=	15	Points Points	Points
3 Completed Projects larger than \$10 million	=	8	Points	
5 Completed Projects larger than \$1 million	=	6	Points	
3 Completed Projects larger than \$1 million	=	2	Points	
c. Non-Compliance with Applicable Laws Questionnaire)	-			
0 Projects	=		Points	Points
1 to 5 Projects	=	5	Points	
6 or more Projects	=	0	Points	

d. Workers' Compensation Modifier (Section C.22 of Questionnaire)

Less than or equal to 0.85	= 10 Points	Points
More than 0.85 and less than 1.0	= 5 Points	
More than 1.0	= 0 Points	

Minimum Points	= 31 out of 55
----------------	----------------